

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,514	11/26/2003	Rene Kunz	16613	8946
4859	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER	
MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC			SALATA, ANTHONY J	
ONE MARITIME PLAZA FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604-1619		FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/\u00e4\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,514	KUNZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Salata	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this comp Period for Reply	munication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	IUNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of um statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N reply will, by statute, cause the application to become nths after the mailing date of this communication, eve	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2004		
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condi	tion for allowance except for formal material reactice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected the state of the s	is/are withdrawn from consideration. o.		-
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to b			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 July 2</u>	2004 is/are: a) $igtiesize 2004$ accepted or b) $igsqcup$ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abe		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is object	uding the correction is required if the draw ed to by the Examiner. Note the attac		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. ☐ Certified copies of the prior3. ☒ Copies of the certified copiesapplication from the Internation		n Application No een received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)		0.140	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Fatent Drawing Revi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Art Unit: 2837



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND
TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No:08172004

Serial Number: 10/723514

Filing Date: November 26,2003

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6,9-13,16,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo et al (5360085) and Dobler et al (6128116) and Toyoshima et al (JP 54131237A).
 - 1) Yoo et al teaches in figures 1-2, an elevator cab position sensor.

A tape 8 is placed in the hoistway. Magnets 10 are placed on the tape and read by esnors 22 to determine the elevator position. The sensors may be either magnetic or optical type detectors.

Art Unit: 2837

Yoo et al does not illustrate a fixed tape or tape cover.

Dobler et al teaches that for improved speed detection/positioning within an elevator installation, it is advantageous to utilize tape readers as opposed to costly and high maintenance cams/rollers and to place the tape on the rails as speed of the elevator cars increases over the prior art systems.

3-6,10-13,17) Shown within the figure is the tape 29 positioned in a groove 15 on the T-rail 16. Dobler et al states that the position and number of tape elements or even the shape of the rail can be changed without altering the accuracy of the system.

Thus, to utilize a grooved rail with tape attached within Yoo et al would have been an obvious engineering design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to improve speed detection and positioning.

Toyoshima et al teaches in figures 1-11, a selector tape for an elevator.

A car for an elevator on guide rails (not shown) contains a selector tape 4. The selector tape contains coded data for floor selection and positioning. A cover 11 (paint or coating ie. Non-magnetic) is applied to the tape to prevent noise.

Thus, to utilize a cover to prevent noise would have been an obvious engineering design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

2,9,16) Toyoshima et al does not state metallic cover but does state that any coating or pasting of another tape may be applied "or the like". Thus, the choice of material applied is considered a matter of convenience.

3. Claims 7,8,14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo et al., Dobler et al., Toyoshima et al as applied to claims 1-6,9-13,16,17 above, and further in view of Ma (6393360).

Yoo et al, Toyoshima et al and Dobler et al do not illustrate the cover details.

Ma teaches that for a vehicle positioning system it is advantageous in a passive detection system which has coded data embedded in the path (rail), to provide an overlapping cover to protect the coded data. See figures 7B,8B.

Thus, to utilize an overlapping cover to protect the coded data in a vehicle positioning system would have been an obvious engineering design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicant's arguments filed 7-20-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. 4.

As stated above, Dobler et al teaches to fasten the tape for improved detection as the speed of elevator cars has increased over time as well as to place the tape in a "groove" on the rail.

Yoo et al teaches the substitution between magnetic and optical detection.

Toyoshima et al teaches to place a cover (of any type) on the tape to prevent noise.

Ma teaches that to further protect, a cover should overlap.

Ma teaches a cover protector for a sensor/detector arrangement in a vehicle environment such as would be true of an elevator and thus considered relevant art by the examiner.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office 5. action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the Application/Control Number: 10/723,514 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the Status of this application or filed papers should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center located at Crystal Plaza 4. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 2800 CP 4 Fax Center Before Final number is (703) 872-9318 or After Final number is (703) 872-9319.

For assistance in Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions calls should be directed to the Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

For requesting COPies of Cited Art, Office Actions or the like, or General Problem solving, calls should be directed to the TC 2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-872-9317 or by fax at 703-872-9317.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Salata whose telephone number is (571) 272-2073. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on (571) 272-2107.

ajs

August 17, 2004

JOHATHAN SALATA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2837